\*

## United States District Court Worthern District of OklahomFILED

JUL 29 2010

United States of America

Phil Lombardi, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

V.

09-CR-043

Lindsey Kent Springer

Reply to Opposition to Motion for Writ of Error Coram Mobis

Lindsey Kent Springer ("Springer") Files reply to USA's opposition to Springer's Motion For Writ of Error Coram Mobis,

The USA claims this court lacks jurisdiction to consider Springer's Motion because Springer is on direct appeal. It also claims Springer is in prison thus the writ of Error cannot be used. Both claims are directly answered by those Cases listed in Springer's Two memorandums. The question is whether Springer's Cith Amendment right has been violated. The USA asked this Court to deny the Motion

Mar.

Mail	No Cert Sve	No Orig Sign
C/J	C/MJ \C/J	Ret'dNo Env
No Cp	ys / No Env/Coys	O/JO/MJ

but never denied the 6th Amendment has been violated.

hand written memorandums exceed the 25 page limit by 27 pages, Springer asked for leave out of caution but asserted all 52 pages would not exceed 25 typed paper. Springer had no access to any tuping tools.

Should never be used to circumvest the Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel.

Springer request the Court brant Springers appointment of Counsel to defend Springers Motion:

Therefore, Springer request the Court grant each of his motions,

Respectfully Submitted

- Show Springs
02580-063

FCI Big Springs
1900 Simler Ave.
Big Springs Tx. 79720.

## Centificate of Service

I herby centify I placed in the mail box at Big Springs Tx FCI Springer's Reply to USA'S Response in opposition to Springer's Motion for writ of Error Coram Nobis to the U.S. District Court Clerk's office, Northern District of Oblahoma, 333 W. 4th St. Tulsa Oblahoma 74103, I further certify the parties in this case will receive copy of this Motion through eef system:

Charles O'Reilly On July 26, 2010.

Oscar Stilley

Judet 3py